

Seismotectonic deformations and stress drop of earthquakes of Central Tien Shan

Sycheva, Naylya A., <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0386-3752>, ivtran@mail.ru

Schmidt Institute of Physics of the Earth of RAS, Moscow, Russia

[Abstract](#) [PDF](#) [RUS](#) [Full text](#) [PDF](#) [RUS](#)

Abstract. Based on the seismic moment tensor (SMT) data of 270 earthquakes in the Central Tien Shan, which occurred from 1978 to 2021 and include 63 events from the Global Centroid Moment Tensor catalog and 207 events from the works of Aleksander D. Kostyuk and Naylya A. Sycheva, the focal parameters (seismotectonic deformations (STD), kinematic and dynamic parameters, source radius, and stress drop) were calculated. The STD calculation was based on the approaches proposed in the studies of Yuriy V. Riznichenko and Sergei L. Yunga. The area under consideration is characterized by such deformation modes as thrust, transpression, underpression, and oblique. The distribution of the Lode–Nadai coefficient was calculated and plotted. A significant part of the study area is characterized by simple compression deformation, dominated by simple compression and simple shear. To calculate the stress drop $\Delta\sigma$, the values of the scalar seismic moment M_0 , which are determined in the SMT calculation, and the source radii r , which are calculated on the basis of theoretical and experimental models of the dependence of the source radius on the moment magnitude, were used. The radii r and stress drops $\Delta\sigma$ were calculated for two models of earthquake sources: the Brune model and the Madariaga–Kaneko–Shearer model. A catalog of dynamic parameters was compiled. The comparison of the kinematic and dynamic parameters of earthquakes was carried out, and the relationship of the dropped stresses with the type of movement in the source, as well as with the Lode–Nadai coefficient, was established. The results obtained in the study can be useful for specialists in other fields of knowledge – geodesy, geology, and geophysics.

Keywords:

seismicity, earthquake, focal mechanism, seismotectonic deformation, Lode–Nadai coefficient, seismic moment tensor, scalar seismic moment, source radius, stress drop

For citation: Sycheva N.A. Seismotectonic deformations and stress drop of earthquakes of Central Tien Shan. *Geosistemy peredodnykh zon = Geosystems of Transition Zones*, 2024, vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 174–200. (In Russ., abstr. in Engl.).

<https://doi.org/10.30730/gtr.2024.8.3.174-200>; <https://www.elibrary.ru/lmyvyk>

Для цитирования: Сычева Н.А. Сейсмотектонические деформации и сброшенные напряжения землетрясений Центрального Тянь-Шаня. *Геосистемы переходных зон*, 2024, т. 8, № 3, с. 174–200.

<https://doi.org/10.30730/gtr.2024.8.3.174-200>; <https://www.elibrary.ru/lmyvyk>

References

Recent geodynamics of intracontinental areas of collision mountain building (Central Asia)

1. Molnar P., Tapponnier P. **1975**. Cenozoic tectonics of Asia: Effects of a continental collision: Features of recent continental tectonics in Asia can be interpreted as results of the India-Eurasia collision. *Science. New Series*, 189(4201): 419–426. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.189.4201.419>
2. Omuralieva A., Nakajima J., Hasegawa A. **2009**. Three-dimensional seismic velocity structure of the crust beneath the central Tien Shan, Kyrgyzstan: Implications for large- and small-scale mountain building. *Tectonophysics*, 465(1): 30–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.10.010>
3. Makarov V.I. **1977**. *Recent tectonic structure of the Central Tien Shan*. Moscow: Nauka, 172 p. (In Russ.).
4. Sadybakasov I.S. **1990**. *Neotectonics of High Asia*. Moscow: Nauka, 176 p. (In Russ.).
5. Laverov N.P., Makarov V.I. (Eds) *Recent geodynamics of intracontinental areas of collision mountain building (Central Asia)*. **2005**. Moscow: Nauchnyi Mir [Scientific world], 400 p.
6. Trifonov V.G., Soboleva O.V., Trifonov R.V., Vostrikov G.A. **2002**. [Modern geodynamics of the Alpine-Himalayan collision belt]. Moscow: GEOS, 224 p. (In Russ.).
7. Trofimov A.K., Udalov N.F., Utkina N.G., Fortuna A.B., Chediya O.K., Yazovskii V.M. **1976**. *Geologiya kainozoya Chuijskoi vpadiny i ee gornogo obramleniya* [Geology of Cenozoic of the Chuy basin and its mountainous frame]. Leningrad: Nauka, 128 p. (In Russ.).
8. Chediya O.K. **1986**. [Morphostructures and recent tectogenesis of the Tien Shan]. Frunze: Ilim, 315 p. (In Russ.).
9. Shul'ts S.S. **1948**. [Analysis of recent tectonics and relief of the Tien Shan]. Moscow: Geographiz, 224 p. (In Russ.).

10. Burtman V.S. **2012**. *Tian Shan and High Asia: Geodinamics in the Cenozoic*. Moscow: GEOS, 188 p. (In Russ.).
11. Yudakhin F.N. **1983**. [*Geophysical fields, deep structure, and seismicity of the Tien Shan*]. Frunze: Ilim, 246 p. (In Russ.).
12. Sycheva N.A., Bogomolov L.M. **2020**. On the stress drop in North Eurasia earthquakes source-sites versus specific seismic energy. *Geosistemy perekhodnykh zon = Geosystems of Transition Zones*, 4(4): 417–446. <https://doi.org/10.30730/gtr.2020.4.4.393-416.417-446>
13. Kostyuk A.D., Sycheva N.A., Yunga S.L., Bogomolov L.M., Yagi Y. **2010**. Deformation of the Earth's crust in the Northern Tien Shan according to the earthquake focal data and satellite geodesy. *Izv., Physics of the Solid Earth*, 46(3): 230–243. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s1069351310030055>
14. Sycheva N.A. **2020**. Seismic moment tensor and dynamic parameters of earthquakes in the Central Tien Shan. *Geosistemy perekhodnykh zon = Geosystems of Transition Zones*, 4(2): 192–209. (In Engl.). <https://doi.org/10.30730/gtr.2020.4.2.178-191.192-209>
15. Yagi Y. **2004**. *Determination of focal mechanism by moment tensor inversion*. Tsukuba: IISSE Lecture Note. 51 p.
16. Sycheva N.A., Bogomolov L.M., Kuzikov S.I. **2020**. *Computational technologies in seismological studies (on the example of KNET, Northern Tien Shan)*. Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk: IMG DVO RAN, 358 p. (In Russ.). <https://dx.doi.org/10.30730/978-5-6040621-6-6.2020-2>
17. Sycheva N.A., Mansurov A.N. **2020**. Seismotectonic deformation of the lithosphere in the Pamir and adjacent territories. *Geodynamics & Tectonophysics*, 11(4): 785–805. (In Russ.). <https://doi.org/10.5800/gt-2020-11-4-0507>
18. Yunga S.L. **1990**. [*Methods and results of study of seismotectonic deformations*]. Moscow: Nauka, 191 p. (In Russ.).
19. Aki K., Richards P. **1983**. *Quantitative seismology: Theory and methods*. Vol. 1–2. Moscow: Mir, 880 p.
20. Riznichenko Yu.V. **1985**. [*Problems of seismology*]. Moscow: Nauka, 408 p. ([Selected works]). (In Russ.).
21. Scholz C.H. **2002**. *The Mechanics of earthquakes and faulting*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 496 p.
22. Abercrombie R.E., Rice J.R. **2005**. Can observations of earthquake scaling constrain slip weakening? *Geophysical Journal International*, 162: 406–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2005.02579.x>
23. Scuderi M.M., Marone C., Tinti E., Di Stefano G., Collettini C. **2016**. Precursory changes in seismic velocity for the spectrum of earthquake failure modes. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(9): 695–700. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2775>
24. Brune J.N. **1971**. Corrections. *J. of Geophysical Research*, 76: 5002.
25. Brune J.N. **1970**. Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear waves from earthquakes. *J. of Geophysical Research*, 75(26): 4997–5009. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jb075i026p04997>
26. Madariaga R. **1976**. Dynamics of an expanding circular fault. *Bull. of the Seismological Society of America*, 66: 639–666.
27. Madariaga R. **1979**. On the relation between seismic moment and stress drop in the presence of stress and strength heterogeneity. *J. of Geophysical Research*, 84: 2243–2250. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jb084ib05p02243>
28. Eshelby J.D. **1957**. The determination of elastic field of an ellipsoidal inclusion and related problems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, A241(1226): 376–396. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0133>
29. Sycheva N.A., Bogomolov L.M. **2024**. [Dynamic parameters of earthquakes in Altai-Sayan mountain region]. In: *Problems of geodynamics and geocology of intracontinental orogens: IX Intern. Symp., 24–28 June, Bishkek*: Abstracts. Bishkek, p. 123.
30. Boore D. **2003**. Simulation of ground motion using the stochastic method. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 160: 635–676. <https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00012553>
31. Bormann P., Liu R., Xu Z., Ren K., Zhang L., Wendt S. **2009**. First application of the New IASPEI teleseismic magnitude standards to data of the China National Seismographic Network. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 99(3): 1868–1891. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120080010>
32. Yunga S.L. **1997**. On the classification of seismic moment tensors based on their isometric mapping onto a sphere. *Doklady Akademii Nauk*, 352(2): 253–255. (In Russ.).
33. Sycheva N.A., Bogomolov L.M. **2016**. Patterns of stress drop in earthquakes of the Northern Tien Shan. *Russian Geology and Geophysics*, 57(11): 1635–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rgg.2016.10.009>